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## Amdahl's law

In any computing process will be a sequential part that cannot be parallelized.

Let's look at an example:

- perform a large calculation
- write the output into a large file for further visualization/post processing

Obviously this example $E$ can be divided into two subprocesses:

- $E_{1}$ : large calculation
- $E_{2}$ : write the output

The time to run $E$ may be represented by $\mathrm{T}_{1}(\mathrm{E})$, there the index 1 indicates sequential execution, that is, running on 1 processor core.

## Amdahl's law

Obviously, we observe on a single processor core:

- $E_{2}$ cannot start before $E_{1}$ has finished
- $\mathrm{T}_{1}(\mathrm{E})=\mathrm{T}_{1}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{T}_{1}\left(\mathrm{E}_{2}\right)$
- $E_{2}$ is sequential in nature and cannot run faster in parallel

Let's assume that $E$ overall takes 1 hour to run sequentially. Out of these $E_{1}$ takes 55 minutes and $E_{2} 5$ minutes to run.


## Amdahl's law

If we use more than 1 processor core, this will only affect $E_{1}$, thus using $p$ cores changes the execution time to:

$$
T_{p}(E)=T_{p}\left(E_{1}\right)+T_{1}\left(E_{2}\right)
$$

In an ideal world, $T_{p}\left(E_{1}\right)$ is not only smaller then $T_{p}\left(E_{1}\right)$, but $\mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}\right)=1 / 2 \mathrm{~T}_{1}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}\right)$
$\mathrm{T}_{4}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}\right)=1 / 4 \mathrm{~T}_{1}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}\right)$
or in general $T_{p}\left(E_{1}\right)=1 / p T_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)$.
We may define a speedup of $E$ :

$$
S_{p}(E)=\frac{T_{1}(E)}{T_{p}(E)}
$$

and a ratio of the parallelizable portion $\mathrm{T}_{1}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}\right)$ to the total runtime $\mathrm{T}_{1}(\mathrm{E})$ :

$$
\mathrm{f}=\frac{T_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)}{T_{1}(E)}
$$

## Amdahl's law

For our example, $\mathrm{f}=55 / 60=0.92$.

If we for example plan to run $E$ on 5 processor cores, we expect:

- the time for $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ will drop from 55 to 11 minutes
- the overall time will drop from 60 to $11+5=16$ minutes
- a speedup $\mathrm{S}_{5}(\mathrm{E})$ of $60 / 16=\mathbf{3 . 7 5}$

We recognize, that our speedup is smaller than 5 , thus not optimal.

## Amdahl's law

Let's assume, that we not just want to solve $E$ once, but we are working with a lot of parameters and have to solve it 100 times.

Now we consider a computer with 20 processor cores.
What are we interested in? There are 2 different aspects when optimizing the way to a solution:

- is it of great importance to have each solution of $E$ fast (time critical prediction)
- request to finish all 100 runs


## Amdahl's law

Speedup


Single Run Time


According to our example E, we may predict a speedup of almost 8 and a single run time somewhat below 10 minutes if we use all 20 cores.

Sounds great.

## 

With focus on finishing each single calculations, we can gain a lot with parallelization.

Now let's have a look on the time to finish our project, that is, to finish all runs and let's assume (all are speaking about climate - why not we) our server with its 20 cores needs just 400 Watt electric power.

## Amdahl's law



We can run 20 different examples on our 20 cores (each 60 min ) and thus finish in 300 min or 5 hours in total at a cost of 2 kW .

Or use more cores, wait longer and use more power.

Clear choice to me.

## Amdahl's law

What can we learn from this small example:

There is an optimal value of cores to be used

- This optimal value depends on your program
- This optimal value depends on your preferences
- This optimal value depends on whether you run a program once or many times.
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